

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,267	10/29/2001	Dale S. Cheney	T8263.DIV	9637
75	90 02/22/2006		EXAM	INER
Garron M. Hobson			MAI, TRI M	
THORPE, NORTH & WESTERN, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Sandy, UT 84091-1219			3727	

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/021,267	CHENEY, DALE S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tri M. Mai	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This      Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 27-30,34-36 and 38-53 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 27,28,30,34-36,38,39,43-49 and 53 is 7) ☐ Claim(s) 29,40-42 and 50-52 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	vn from consideration.  /are rejected.  relection requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the formula of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/021,267 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The allowability of claims 27, 28, and 34 is withdrawn. This Office Action made non-final.

2. Claims 27, 28, 30, 34, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Remington et al. (4363226). Remington teaches a base structure, a lid, two lid actuators 34, 36, and two lid latches 42. The lid actuator including a hooking cam to engage the lid latch, the first and second lid actuators being coupled together by a cable and pulley system for simultaneous operation.

Regarding claim 34, note the L shape on the side of portion 16.

3. Claims 35, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saunders (1155447). Saunders teaches storage box having a base structure, a lid, a lid coupler connected to the lid and the base structure, a lid coupler including a lid latch and a lid actuator. The lid actuator including a hooking cam 11 to engage the lid latch 10 while the lid is in an open position such that the lid latch tracks along the hooking cam of the lid actuator such that the lid actuator is rotated the lid latch tracks along the hooking cam and the lid actuator is pulled down along the thickness of portion 14.

Regarding the new limitation wherein the lid actuator rotates substantially 180 degrees, it is submitted that the curve portion 14 is about 180 degrees. Thus portion 10 can travel 180 degrees as claimed, depending on the rotating closing. There is nothing to limit the traveling of portion 10 the entire curved portion 14. Furthermore, it is noted that the the claim must be distinguished from the prior art in term of structure rather than function. In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847(CCPA 1959). Furthermore, apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device

Application/Control Number: 10/021,267 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

does, Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F. 2d 1464, 1469 (CCPA 1935). See MPEP 2114. In this case, the functionality of the actuator rotating 180 degrees does not impart any structure over the latch structure in Saunder.

Regarding claim 36, to the degree a vehicle is not claimed, the base can be configured to attach to a vehicle as claimed, and can be fit between sidewall of a truck bed.

4. Claims 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Howe (532067), or in the alternative, over Howe. Howe teaches a box having a base structure a, a lid pivotally connected to the base structure, a lid coupler including two lid latches C3 and two lid actuators C. It is noted that the claims defines the lid coupler comprising first and second lid actuator (applicant's portion 22), and first and second lid latch (applicant's portion 24). Howe teaches two lid latches C3 and two lid actuators C. The term "lid coupler" does not impart any more structures than the two lid latches and two lid actuators as defined.

To the degree it is argued that the lid coupler is not in lid/base as set forth in the claim. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to reverse the parts to provide the desired place for attachment. Furthermore, to the degree it is argued that the two portions a and b are not pivotally connected. Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a hinge to provide a pivotal connection.

5. Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Wilkirson (2708302) or Luce (Re16643). Wilkirson teaches a container having a container having a storage box having a base structure, a lid, a lid coupler connected to the lid and the base structure, a lid coupler including a first and second adjustable lid actuators and a first and second adjustable lid latches as claimed.

Page 4

6. Claims 35-36, 38, 39, 43-49, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grove (460437). Grove teaches a container having a container having a storage box having a base structure, a lid, a lid coupler connected to the lid and the base structure, a lid coupler including a lid latch and a lid actuator. The lid actuator including a hooking cam at b3 to engage the lid latch B' while the lid is in an open position such that the lid latch tracks along the hooking cam of the lid actuator such that the lid actuator is rotated the lid latch tracks along the hooking cam and the lid actuator is pulled down along the curve and into the notch at B2. With respect the lid rotating substantially along 180 degrees, it is noted that the actuator would travel from the position shown in Fig. 3 to the position shown in Fig. 1 wherein portion b5 is rested on the wall A'. Thus, the actuator travels substantially 180 degrees as claimed.

Regarding claim 43, note that the surface shown in Fig. 3 is the front of the structure as claimed. Surface A is the side of the structure.

- 7. Claims 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grove in view of Howe. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide two lid couplers in Grove as taught by Howe to provide added security.
- 8. Claims 29, 40-42, and 50-52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,267 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W Young can be reached on (571)272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Tri M. Mai Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727